

- Bartholomew, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 2035 2038; J. I. Concepción, C. G. Francisco, R. Hernández, J. A. Salazar, E. Suárez, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 1953 1956; A. Martín, J. A. Salazar, E. Suárez, *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 3999 4006; R. L. Dorta, A. Martín, J. A. Salazar, E. Suárez, T. Prangé, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 2251 2261.
- [7] K. Orito, S. Satoh, H. Suginome, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1989, 1829 – 1831.
- [8] A. E. Dorigo, K. N. Houk, J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 1650-1664.
- [9] V. S. R. Rao, P. K. Qasba, P. V. Balaji, R. Chandrasekaran, Conformation of Carbohydrates, Harwood Academic Publishers, Singapore, 1998, pp. 91 – 122.
- [10] Molecular mechanics calculations were performed using the AM-BER* all-atom force field and the GB/SA solvation model for CHCl₃ as implemented in version 7.0 of the MacroModel and BatchMin packages.
- [11] F. Brisse, R. H. Marchessault, S. Pérez, P. Zugenmaier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7470-7476.
- [12] H. Senderowitz, W. C. Still, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1427 1438.
- [13] Molecular mechanics calculations of the transition state were performed using the MM2 force field as implemented in Chem3D, release 3.2 (CambridgeSoft Corp., Cambridge, MA), parametrized according to ref. [8].
- [14] K. Bock, H. Pedersen, Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. B 1988, 42, 75–85; S. Cottaz, C. Apparau, H. Driguez, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 2235–2241.
- [15] J. L. Courtneidge, J. Lusztyk, D. Pagé, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 1003-1006.
- [16] CCDC 174444 (2) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
- [17] F. A. L. Anet in Conformational Analysis of Medium-Sized Heterocycles (Ed.: R. S. Glass), VCH, New York, 1988, pp. 35–95; R. R. McGuire, J. L. Pflug, M. H. Rakowsky, S. A. Shackelford, A. A. Shaffer, Heterocycles 1994, 38, 1979–2004; U. Burkert, Z. Naturforsch. B 1980, 35, 1479–1481.
- [18] G. O. Aspinall, T. N. Krishnamurthy, W. Mitura, M. Funabashi, Can. J. Chem. 1975, 53, 2182–2188; G. O. Aspinall, O. Igarashi, T. N. Krishnamurthy, W. Mitura, M. Funabashi, Can. J. Chem. 1976, 54, 1708–1713.
- [19] C. G. Francisco, E. I. León, A. Martín, P. Moreno, M. S. Rodríguez, E. Suárez, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6967 6976.
- [20] S. Kim, T. A. Lee, Y. Song, Synlett 1998, 471 472; D. Crich, X. Huang, M. Newcomb, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 523 – 529.
- P. Luger, H. Paulsen, Carbohydr. Res. 1976, 51, 169-178; J. R. Snyder,
 A. S. Serianni, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2694-2702.
- [22] S. Berger in Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, Vol. 2 (Eds.: D. M. Grant, R. K. Harris), Wiley, Chichester, 1996, pp. 1168–1172.
- [23] D. Crich in *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*, Vol. 2 (Eds.: P. Renaud, M. P. Sibi), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2001, pp. 188–205.
- [24] For recent papers, see: H. G. Bazin, M. W. Wolff, R. J. Linhardt, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 144–152; A. Lubineau, O. Gavard, J. Alais, D. Bonnaffé, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 307–311; R. Ojeda, J. L. Paz, M. Martín-Lomas, J. M. Lasaletta, Synlett 2000, 1316–1318; H. Takahashi, Y. Hitomi, Y. Iwai, S. Ikegami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2995–3000; S.-C. Hung, S. R. Thopate, F.-C. Chi, S.-W. Chang, J.-C. Lee, C.-C. Wang, Y.-S. Wen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3153–3154; I. Capila, R. J. Linhardt, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 428–451; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 390–412.

A New Catalyst for the Selective Oxidation of Butane and Propane**

Mark E. Davis,* Christopher J. Dillon, Joseph H. Holles, and Jay Labinger

The abundance and low cost of light alkanes has motivated the search for new catalytic materials that can accomplish selective oxidation processes. The conversion of *n*-butane to maleic anhydride over V-P-O catalysts with molecular oxygen is commercially well established.^[1] Other reactions of current interest are the production of acetic acid from ethane and acrylic acid from propane. Polyoxometalates are among the numerous catalytic materials that have been extensively investigated for each of the aforementioned reactions. Typically different polyoxometalate compositions have been used for each alkane. These compounds (and other mixed-metal oxides) have not been found to perform as well as V-P-O catalysts for the conversion of *n*-butane to maleic anhydride,^[2] or as well as mixed-metal oxides containing Mo-V-Nb-Te^[3a,b] or Mo-V-Nb-Sb^[4] for conversion of propane to acrylic acid.

We have discovered a new catalyst system that achieves selective oxidation of both *n*-butane and propane. Li et al. reported that a solid obtained by treating molybdophosphoric acid, H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀ (henceforth denoted as PMo₁₂) with pyridine followed by activation in nitrogen at 420 °C exhibits catalytic activity for oxidation of propane to acrylic acid.[5] Ueda and Suzuki also showed that molybdovanadophosphoric acid (denoted as PMo₁₁V) similarly treated gives a less active and selective catalyst. [6] Our catalysts are obtained from PMo₁₂ and PMo₁₁V (prepared by known methods^[7]), exchanged sequentially with niobium oxalate (giving NbPMo₁₂ and NbPMo₁₁V) and pyridine (giving NbPMo₁₂pyr and NbPMo₁₁Vpyr) in aqueous media, followed by heating to 420°C in flowing helium. The elemental compositions of the solids thus obtained are typically Nb_{0.1-0.25}PMo₁₂(pyr)₃₋₄ or $Nb_{0.1-0.25}PMo_{11}V(pyr)_{3-4}$ within experimental error.

Table 1 reports the catalytic performance of various materials for n-butane oxidation under hydrocarbon-rich conditions ($C_4/O_2 = 2/1$). All the solids prepared from precursors containing both pyridine and niobium are very active and selective. Note particularly the high space time yield (STY) for NbPMo₁₂pyr and NbPMo₁₁Vpyr achieved by increasing the total flow rate by a factor of eight (15 mLmin⁻¹ to 120 mLmin⁻¹; see entries 6 and 7, and 13 and 14, respectively, in Table 1). In contrast, the polyoxometalates that have not been exposed to pyridine do not exhibit significant activity (entries 1, 2, 8, and 9, Table 1), while samples treated with pyridine but without niobium do not give the highest activities

^[*] Prof. M. E. Davis, C. J. Dillon, J. H. Holles Chemical Engineering California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125 (USA)
Fax: (+1)626-568-8743
E-mail: mdavis@cheme.caltech.edu
Dr. J. Labinger
Beckman Institute
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125 (USA)

^[**] This work was funded by BP.

Tabelle 1. Reactivity of n-butane.

Entry	Catalyst	T[°C]	Flow rate	Conve	ersion [%]	Selectivity [%] ^[c]					
	•		$[\mathrm{mLmin^{-1}}]^{[a]}$	C_4	O_2	CO_x	Ac	AA	MA	$STY^{[d]}$	
1	PMo ₁₂	380	4:2:4:5	0.2	7	64	34	2	_	_	
2	$NbPMo_{12}$	380	4:2:4:5	3	32	13	7	2	70	0.017	
3	PMo ₁₂ pyr	380	4:2:4:5	13.5	89	14	3	1	82	0.097	
4	PMo ₁₂ pyr	340	4:2:4:5	7	55	10	4	1	83	0.050	
5	NbPMo ₁₂ pyr	380	4:2:4:5	15 ^[b]	100	25	3	1	71	0.084	
6	NbPMo ₁₂ pyr	380	32:16:32:40	15 ^[b]	100	5	3	1	90	0.840	
7	NbPMo ₁₂ pyr	340	32:16:32:40	14	91	6	4	1	89	0.840	
8	$PMo_{11}V$	380	4:2:4:5	0.5	9	31	17	_	50	1.6×10^{-3}	
9	NbPMo ₁₁ V	380	4:2:4:5	0.4	9	42	19	4	25	6.3×10^{-4}	
10	PMo ₁₁ Vpyr	380	4:2:4:5	13.5	90	5	3	1	90	0.11	
11	PMo ₁₁ Vpyr	340	4:2:4:5	2	29	16	4	1	78	0.013	
12	NbPMo ₁₁ Vpyr	380	4:2:4:5	15 ^[b]	100	16	5	2	76	0.090	
13	NbPMo ₁₁ Vpyr	380	32:16:32:40	14	95	9	5	3	80	0.760	
14	NbPMo ₁₁ Vpyr	340	32:16:32:40	13	83	26	10	4	59	0.560	

[a] n-Butane:oxygen:helium:water. [b] Theoretical maximum conversion. [c] Abbreviations: C_4 : n-butane; CO_x : carbon oxides; Ac: acetic acid; AA: acrylic acid; MA: maleic acid. [d] Space time yield of maleic acid [mmol min⁻¹ g⁻¹ of catalyst].

(entries 3, 4, 10 and 11, Table 1). Although the niobium content may vary, Nb/P ratios nearer to 0.25 gave higher activities. The presence of vanadium appears to have little influence on the reactivity of *n*-butane.

Table 2 compares the reactivity of *n*-butane over the new catalysts reported here to several of those given in the literature. The new catalysts exhibit substantially higher productivities (STY, entries 3 and 4, Table 2) and at least comparable selectivities, as well as the ability to operate at lower temperatures (300 $^{\circ}$ C; entry 5, Table 2). In addition to the hydrocarbon-rich conditions reported in Table 1, the new catalysts perform well in more typically studied hydrocarbon-lean environments ($C_4/O_2 = 1/10$; entry 6, Table 2).

Unlike most previous examples, these new catalysts are effective for more than one reactant: propane is also selectively oxidized to a variety of partially oxidized products

(see Table 3). As with n-butane, the catalyst precursor that contains both niobium and pyridine gives the most active catalyst (entry 4, Table 3). Interestingly, in addition to forming acrylic and acetic acids, the new catalyst produces substantial amounts of maleic acid. Results using propene, 1,5-hexadiene, and 13 C-labeled propane in individual experiments as feed hydrocarbons suggest that maleic acid is formed by the reaction sequence shown in Scheme 1.

Table 4 compares the reactivity results from the new catalyst to some of the more selective propane oxidation catalysts that have been reported. Since the literature data are at different reaction conditions, for example, propane/ O_2 , propane concentration, temperature, residence time, etc., it is difficult to compare, but it is clear that while the catalyst reported here is not the most selective, it has exceptional productivity for acrylic acid (AA STY).

Tabelle 2. Reactivity of *n*-butane over various catalysts.

Entry	Catalyst	T [°C]	Flow rate	Conversion [%]		Selectivity [%]				
	•		$[\mathrm{mLmin^{-1}}]^{[a]}$	C_4	O_2	CO_x	Ac	AA	MA	$STY^{[c]}$
1	MoO_3	380	4:2:4:5	0.7	20	95	2.4	_	_	_
2	PMo_{12}	380	4:2:4:5	0.2	7	64	34	2	_	_
3	NbPMo ₁₂ pyr	380	32:16:32:40	15 ^[b]	100	5	3	1	90	0.840
4	NbPMo ₁₁ Vpyr	380	32:16:32:40	14	95	9	5	3	80	0.760
5	NbPMo ₁₁ Vpyr	300	4:2:4:5	9	75	26	12	2	60	0.033
6	NbPMo ₁₁ Vpyr	340	1:10:35:5	62	61	50	3.8	0.8	46	0.019
7	$VPO^{[8]}$	380	4:6:26:0	30	_	8	_	_	80	0.067
8	$BiPMo_{12}O_{40} + 2VO^{2+[9]}$	360	6.3:58:218:34	31.8	-	37	17	14	32	0.001
9	$H_5PMo_{10}V_2O_{40}^{[10]}$	340	0.6:5.4:24:0	90	_	-	-	-	38	0.003

[a] n-Butane:oxygen:helium:water. [b] Theoretical maximum conversion. [c] Space time yield of maleic acid [mmol min⁻¹g⁻¹ of catalyst].

Tabelle 3. Reactivity of propane at $380\,^{\circ}\text{C.}^{[a]}$

Entry	Catalyst	Conve	ersion [%]	Selectivity [%] ^[d]							
		C_3	O_2	CO_x	$C_2^=$	$C_3^=$	Ac	AA	MA	Yield [%] AA	STY ^[e] AA
1	$PMo_{11}V^{[b]}$	0.4	3.4	30	12	54	4	_	_	_	_
2	$NbPMo_{11}V^{[b]}$	1.4	5.7	22	6	44	16	10	_	0.1	1.39×10^{-3}
3	PMo ₁₁ Vpyr ^[b]	3.4	10.1	10	4	37	30	17	_	0.6	5.55×10^{-3}
4	NbPMo ₁₁ Vpyr ^[c]	20.9	68.7	8	-	-	24	50	16	10.5	0.623

[a] $C_3/O_2/He/H_2O = 4:2:4:5 \pmod{\%}$. [b] Total flow rate = 15 mL min⁻¹; residence time = 0.42 s; total gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) = 9000 h⁻¹. [c] Total flow rate = 120 mL min⁻¹; residence time = 0.10 s; total GHSV = $36000 \, h^{-1}$. [d] Abbreviations: C_3 : propane; C_3 : carbon oxides; C_3 : ethene; C_3 : propene; Ac: acetic acid; AA: acrylic acid; MA: maleic acid. [e] STY = mmol acrylic acid min⁻¹ g⁻¹ of catalyst.

Tabelle 4. Reactivity of propane over various catalysts.

Entry	Catalyst	C ₃ :O ₂ :inert:H ₂ O [mL min ⁻¹]	Residence time [s]	C ₃ Conv. [%]	AA sel. [%]	AA yield [%]	AA STY [mmol min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹]	Ref.
1	PMo ₁₂	10:5:25:10	3.6	8	29	2	2.70×10^{-3}	6
2	$MoSbVNbO_x$	2.0:3.2:11.8:28.0	2.0	31	30	9	4.86×10^{-3}	4
3	MoVNbTeO _x	0.6:2.0:7.4:8.8	1.9	80	61	49	0.018	3b
4	CsFe(PMo ₁₁ VO ₄₀)	4.5:7.5:3.0:0	4.0	47	28	13	0.024	11
5	NbPMo ₁₁ Vpyr	32:16:32:40	0.1	21	50	11	0.623	this work

Scheme 1. Proposed sequence for the conversion of propane to maleic acid by the new catalysts.

At this time the nature of the active sites in these highly active catalysts is unknown. All the pyridine is removed by heating in helium to 420 °C. The pyridine acts as a reductant to lower the average oxidation states of vanadium (if present), molybdenum, and niobium (ascertained by using EPR, NMR, UV/Vis, and XANES studies). Heating under oxidizing conditions up to 420 °C renders the solids catalytically inactive; thus, reducing pretreatment conditions are necessary. Other amines can be used as reductants, but pyridine is the best found thus far. Exposure to temperatures above approximately 420 °C even in inert environments causes phase transitions ultimately leading to crystalline molybdenum oxides (from X-ray powder diffraction) that are not active catalysts.

The excellent performance in terms of yield and (especially) productivity, the versatility with respect to alkane feedstock, and the synthesis from precursors that are not only of well-defined composition and structure but also are amenable to a number of potentially informative spectroscopic and other methods for characterization, all strongly indicate that these new materials offer great promise towards the important goal of developing improved catalysts for selective oxidation. Further work towards extending the scope of catalytic reactivity, optimizing performance, and understanding the mechanism is ongoing.

Experimental Section

Preparation of NbPMo $_{11}$ Vpyr: Sodium hydrogen phosphate (7.098 g, 50 mmol) was dissolved in water (100 mL) at room temperature and sodium metavanadate (6.097 g, 50 mmol) was dissolved in water (100 mL) at 80 °C. The two solutions were combined at room temperature and the mixture was acidified with concentrated sulfuric acid (5 mL), which led to a dark red solution. Sodium molybdate dihydrate (133.1 g, 550 mmol) was dissolved in water (200 mL) at room temperature and the solutions were combined. Concentrated sulfuric acid (85 mL) was added to the solution over 90 min (exotherm) with vigorous stirring. This generated a clear bright red solution that was added to diethyl ether (200 mL) in a separating funnel

and shaken. This resulted in three phases, from which the dark red layer was decanted and retained. The aqueous layer was extracted twice, and the ethereal fractions were combined. The diethyl ether was removed resulting in a thick red syrup that was dissolved in water and recrystallized. The orange crystals of PMo₁₁V were dried at $100\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ overnight. Niobium pentachloride (1.210 g, 4.48 mmol) was dissolved in water (10 mL) and the solution basified by using ammonium hydroxide (0.5 mL). The white precipitate was removed by filtration and dissolved in oxalic acid (1.008 g, 11.2 mmol in 20 mL water). The niobium oxalate solution was then slowly added to 11-molybdo-1-vandanophosphoric acid (PMo₁₁V; 20 g) dissolved in water (40 mL). The mixture was stirred and heated to $80\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ until the liquid had evaporated. An aqueous solution of pyridine (1.645 g, 20.8 mmol in 10 mL water) was slowly added to a slurry of the niobium polyoxometalate NbPMo₁₁V (5.260 g) in water (30 mL) to form an immediate precipitate. The mixture was stirred and evaporated to dryness at $80\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$.

Received: November 5, 2001 [Z18162]

^[1] G. Centi, F. Cavani, F. Trifiro, Selective Oxidation by Heterogeneous Catalysis, Kluwer, New York, 2001.

^[2] M. Ai, J. Mol. Catal. A 1996, 114, 13.

^[3] a) M. M. Lin, Appl. Catal. A 2001, 207, 1, and references therein; b) T. Ushikubo, H. Nakamura, Y. Koyasu, S. Wajiki (Mitsubishi Kasei), US Patent 5,380,933, 1995.

^[4] M. Takahashi, X. Tu, T. Hirose, M. Ishii (Toagosei Co., Ltd.), US Patent 6,060,422, 2000.

^[5] W. Li, K. Oshihara, W. Ueda, Appl. Catal. A 1999, 182, 357.

^[6] W. Ueda, Y. Suzuki, Chem. Lett. 1995, 541.

^[7] G. A. Tsigdinos, C. J. Hallada, Inorg. Chem. 1968, 7, 437.

^[8] M. J. Ledoux, C. Crouzet, C. Pham-Huu, V. Turines, K. Kourtakis, P. L. Mills, J. J. Lerou, J. Catal. 2001, 203, 495.

^[9] M. Ai, 8th Int. Congress on Catalysis, Berlin, DECHEMA Monogr. 1984, 5, 475.

^[10] G. Centi, V. Lena, F. Trifiro, D. Ghoussoub, C. F. Aissi, M. Guelton, J. P. Bonnelle, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1990, 86, 2775.

^[11] N. Mizuno, D. Suh, W. Han, T. Kudo, Appl. Catal. A 1996, 114, 309.